



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/832,737 | 04/11/2001 | Karl Forster | 38394.0100 | 3464 |
| 7590 01/13/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. | | | TO, BAOTRAN N | |
| One Arizona Ce | enter | | | |
| 400 East Van Buren | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 | | | 2135 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | 09/832,737 | FORSTER, KARL | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Pag Tran N. Ta | 2125 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Bao Tran N. To | 2135 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · · | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | • | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| 1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Examiner tried to contact Attorney David O. Caplan application on 01/04/2005. During the telephone coinformed that there was no further response to send | nversation with his secretary, Su | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | |